

Oversight and Governance
Chief Executive's Department
Plymouth City Council
Ballard House
Plymouth PLI 3BJ
T 01752 305155
www.plymouth.gov.uk/democracy
Published 22/10/21

Delegated Decisions

Delegated Executive/Officer Decisions

Delegated Executive and Officer decisions are published every Wednesday and are available at the following link - https://tinyurl.com/ms6umor

Cabinet decisions subject to call-in are published at the following link -http://tinyurl.com/yddrqll6

Notice of call-in for non-urgent decisions must be given to the Democratic Support Unit by 4.30 pm on Friday 29 October 2021. Please note – urgent decisions and non-key Council Officer decisions cannot be called in. Copies of the decisions together with background reports are available for viewing as follows:

- on the Council's Intranet Site at https://modgov/mgDelegatedDecisions.aspx
- on the Council's website at https://tinyurl.com/jhnax4e

The decisions detailed below may be implemented on Monday 01 November 21 if they are not called-in.

Delegated Decisions

I. Councillor Nick Kelly (Leader of the Council):

I.a Derriford District Centre (Pages I - 48)

1.b New Data Centre Migration Costs (Pages 49 - 62)

EXECUTIVE DECISION

made by a Cabinet Member



REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN UNDER DELEGATED AUTHORITY BY AN INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER

Executive Decision Reference Number - L17 21/22

Dec	cision								
ı	Title of decision: Derriford District Centre								
2	Decision maker (Cabinet member name and portfolio title): Councillor Nick Kelly, Leader								
3	Report author and contact details: Matt Ward, matt.ward@plymouth.gov.uk 07966 717018								
4	Decision to be taken: It is recommended that the Leader of the Council: • Approves the Business Case • Allocates £17.86m for the project into the Capital Programme funded by service borrowing • Authorises the procurement process • Delegates the award of the contract to Service Director for Economic Development								
5	Reasons for decision: In order to allow the development of a new district centre for Derriford on land owned by the Council at Seaton Barracks. The development will create new jobs, safeguard existing jobs, help to deliver Policy PLY38 of the Joint Local Plan and generate income for the Council.								
6	Alternative options considered and re	•		tached business case.					
7	Financial implications and risks: As set out in detail in the attached business	case.							
8	Is the decision a Key Decision? (please contact Democratic Support	Yes	No	Per the Constitution, a key decision is one which:					
	for further advice)	x		in the case of capital projects and contract awards, results in a new commitment to spend and/or save in excess of £3million in total					
			x	in the case of revenue projects when the decision involves entering into new commitments and/or making new savings in excess of £1 million					
		x		is significant in terms of its effect on communities living or working in an area comprising two or more wards in the					

				area of the local authority.			
	If yes, date of publication of the notice in the Forward Plan of Key Decisions	I May	I May 2019				
9	Please specify how this decision is linked to the Council's corporate plan/Plymouth Plan and/or the polic framework and/or the revenue/capital budget:	PLY38 y Plan, w	The proposal helps to deliver the aspirations of Policy PLY38 of the Plymouth & South West Devon Joint Local Plan, whilst also creating new jobs, safeguarding existing jobs and providing an income for the Council				
10	Please specify any direct environmental implications of the decision (carbon impact)	includi provisi	ng minimi	cludes various measures to reduce carbon, um of 12 electric vehicle charging points, omote sustainable transport and energy gs			
Urge	nt decisions						
11	I Is the decision urgent and to be implemented immediately in the interests of the Council or the			(If yes, please contact Democratic Support (democraticsupport@plymouth.gov.uk) for advice)			
	public?	No	x	(If no, go to section 13a)			
I2a	Reason for urgency:						
12b	Scrutiny Chair Signature:		Date				
	Scrutiny Committee name:						
	Print Name:						
Cons	ultation						
13a	Are any other Cabinet members'	Yes					
	portfolios affected by the decision?	No	x	(If no go to section 14)			
13b	Which other Cabinet member's portfolio is affected by the decision?						
13c	Date Cabinet member consulted						
14	Has any Cabinet member declared a conflict of interest in relation to the decision?		v	If yes, please discuss with the Monitoring Officer			
		140	×				
15	Which Corporate Management	Name	•	Anthony Payne			

	Team member has been consulted? Job title Strategic		ic Directo	or for	Place								
			Date consu	lted	4 Augus	4 August 2021							
Sign	-off												
16	Sign off codes from the relevant departments consulted:			ocratic (datory)	Support		DS6	1 21/22					
			Finan	ce (mai	ndatory)		pl.2 l	.22.135					
			Legal	(manda	atory)		LS/3 21	7503/SW	//22/10/				
			Huma	an Reso	urces (if a	pplicable)						
			Corpo		roperty (if								
				ıremen	t (if applic	able)							
Арр	endic	es											
17	Ref.	. Title of appendix											
	Α	Briefing report for publication (Part I)											
	B Equalities Impact Assessment												
Con	fident	ial/exempt information											
18a		ou need to include any idential/exempt information?	Yes	Yes x If yes, prepare a second, confidential ('Part briefing report and indicate why it is not fo publication by virtue of Part 1 of Schedule 1									
			No					ent Act 1972 by ticking b below. ation as possible in the					
				Ex	cemption	Paragrap	h N un	n Number					
			I	2	3	4	5	6	7				
18b	Contitle	fidential/exempt briefing report			x								
	Brie	fing paper Derriford Part 2			×								
	la E	state Plan			x								
	lb E	levations PH			x								
	Ic C	GI PHI, Id CGI PH2			x								
	2 a E	Business Case			x								

2 b, 2c Derriford NPV		x		
3 Derriford Appraisal		x		

Background Papers

19 Please list all unpublished, background papers relevant to the decision in the table below.

Background papers are <u>unpublished</u> works, relied on to a material extent in preparing the report, which disclose facts or matters on which the report or an important part of the work is based. If some/all of the information is confidential, you must indicate why it is not for publication by virtue of Part I of Schedule I2A of the Local Government Act 1972 by ticking the relevant box.

Title of background paper(s)		Exemption Paragraph Number								
	ı	2	3	4	5	6	7			
n/a										

Cabinet Member Signature

I agree the decision and confirm that it is not contrary to the Council's policy and budget framework, Corporate Plan or Budget. In taking this decision I have given due regard to the Council's duty to promote equality of opportunity, eliminate unlawful discrimination and promote good relations between people who share protected characteristics under the Equalities Act and those who do not. For further details please see the EIA attached.

Signature	Nikolla	Date of decision	22.10.2021
	1.10000		
Print Name	Councillor Nick Kelly, Leader of	of Plymouth City Council	

EXECUTIVE DECISION

made by a Cabinet Member Briefing Paper Part I



1.0 Executive summary

- I.I The proposal is for the development of a new district centre for Derriford, including two foodstores and other, smaller retail units. The proposal meets the objectives of the planning policy for the site by providing a mix of uses that will encourage visitors to spend time in the area for example, a café and gym, as well as high quality outdoor spaces where people can meet and relax. The proposal also delivers strategically important infrastructure for the area, including the first section of the Seaton Arc and a separate pedestrian and cycle route.
- I.2 The development would take place on land owned by the Council. The development would be delivered by a private developer but funded by the Council through a Forward Funding Agreement.
- 1.3 The development will generate employment and business rates and, following completion of the development, the Council will receive the rents from all of the units on site, which will generate a positive net return to the Council.

2.0 Purpose of the report

This report and Business Case (attached as an appendix to the Part II paper) seek approval to enter into a Forward Funding Agreement (FFA) to allow the development of a new district centre for Derriford on land owned by the Council.

3.0 Further information

- 3.1 It is proposed that the Council will provide funding to develop a new district centre for Derriford.
- 3.2 The project will see the development and regeneration of the majority of the former Seaton Barracks site, which is one of the last undeveloped plots within Plymouth International Medical & Technology Park. The delivery of a new district centre for Derriford on this site is an important strategic objective for the Council, with the site having been designated as the preferred site for the district centre in the Joint Local Plan, which was adopted in March 2019.
- 3.3 The project will deliver the following:
- Two new food stores, each of around 20,000ft²;
- A 1,800ft² café and 2,000ft² retail unit, with 12,000ft² gym alongside and above;
- One smaller retail unit of around 1,000ft²;
- 12 electric vehicle charging points;
- The southern section of the "Seaton Arc" a strategic bus, cycle and pedestrian route connecting the Tavistock Road / William Prance Road junction with Derriford hospital; and
- An east-west pedestrian and cycle route along the site's northern boundary.
- 3.4 The completed development is expected to create 102 FTE jobs and safeguard a further 57 FTE jobs. It is also estimated that 120 construction jobs will be generated during the development phase. The consultant team also includes a number of local firms based in Plymouth.

- 3.5 The expected total maximum commitment will be £17.86m, to be paid in stages throughout the development. The completed scheme will be retained by PCC and held as part of the Property & Regeneration Fund (PRF).
- 3.6 Various pre-application discussions have taken place, including a review of the proposals by the design review panel. Several changes to the proposals have been made in light of the feedback provided by the local planning authority and statutory consultees.
- 3.7 The outcomes as a result of this positive intervention align well with the strategic objectives of the PRF of promoting and supporting regeneration in order to stimulate economic and employment growth in the city. Additionally the Council will benefit from the long term revenue income and asset value.
- 3.8 In addition to the jobs created, the development is also expected to secure an annual business rates income of around £502,000 (of which PCC would retain 50% or £251,000).
- 3.9 On account of the strong investment rationale, this business case therefore seeks approval to enter into a FFA to facilitate the development at a total maximum commitment of up to £17.86m.
- 3.10 It is intended that a planning application will be submitted before Christmas 2021, with a start on site planned for August 2022 and completion in September 2023.

4.0 Decision required

4.1 The decision required is approval for the funding required for the development, authorisation of the procurement process and delegation of the contract award.

5.0 Recommendation

- 5.1 It is recommended that the Leader of the Council:
 - Approves the Business Case.
 - Allocates £17.86m for the project into the Capital Programme funded by service borrowing.
 - Authorises the procurement process.
 - Delegates the award of the forward funding agreement and the negotiation of all other contracts associated with the proposal (including *inter alia* all leases, agreements for lease and warranties) to the Service Director for Economic Development.

The following relates to exempt or confidential matters (Para(s) 3 of Part 1, Schedule 12A of the Local Govt Act 1972). Any breach of confidentiality could prejudice the Council/person/body concerned & might amount to a breach of the councillors /employees codes of conduct.



EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Strategic Development Projects, Economic Development



STAGE I: WHAT IS BEING ASSESSED AND BY WHOM?

What is being assessed - including a brief description of aims and objectives?	Proposal to develop land at Seaton Barracks, Derriford for a new district centre
Author	Matt Ward
Department and service	Strategic Development Projects, Economic Development
Date of assessment	19 October 2021

STAGE 2: EVIDENCE AND IMPACT

Protected characteristics (Equality Act)	Evidence and information (e.g. data and feedback)	Any adverse impact See guidance on how to make judgement	Actions	Timescale and who is responsible
Age	None			
Disability	None			
Religion or belief	None			
Sex - including marriage, pregnancy and maternity	None			
Gender reassignment	None			
Race	None			
Sexual orientation - including civil partnership	None			

STAGE 3: ARE THERE ANY IMPLICATIONS FOR THE FOLLOWING? IF SO, PLEASE RECORD ACTIONS TO BE TAKEN

Local priorities	Implications	Timescale and who is responsible
Celebrate diversity and ensure that Plymouth is a welcoming city.	The proposed development will help to promote better access to district centre amenities for local residents. This will help local people from all backgrounds. The district centre will aim to provide an inclusive environment and will include parking for disabled drivers as well as parent and child spaces.	Project team through completion of the district centre.
Pay equality for women, and staff with disabilities in our workforce.	The district centre will provide new opportunities for employment which will be available for all.	Retailers through creation of new employment opportunities.
Supporting our workforce through the implementation of Our People Strategy 2020 – 2024	N/A	
Supporting victims of hate crime so they feel confident to report incidents, and working with, and through our partner organisations to achieve positive outcomes.	N/A	
Plymouth is a city where people from different backgrounds get along well.	The district centre will provide access to services for all.	Project team through completion of the district centre.
Human rights Please refer to guidance	N/A	N/A

STAGE 4: PUBLICATION

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT Page 2 of 3

Matt Ward

Date: 19 October 2021

Head of Service

Page 1

This page is intentionally left blank

The following relates to exempt or confidential matters (Para(s) 3 of Part 1, Schedule 12A of the Local Govt Act 1972). Any breach of confidentiality could prejudice the Council/person/body concerned & might amount to a breach of the councillors /employees codes of conduct.



The following relates to exempt or confidential matters (Para(s) 3 of Part 1, Schedule 12A of the Local Govt Act 1972). Any breach of confidentiality could prejudice the Council/person/body concerned & might amount to a breach of the councillors /employees codes of conduct.



The following relates to exempt or confidential matters (Para(s) 3 of Part 1, Schedule 12A of the Local Govt Act 1972). Any breach of confidentiality could prejudice the Council/person/body concerned & might amount to a breach of the councillors /employees codes of conduct.



The following relates to exempt or confidential matters (Para(s) 3 of Part 1, Schedule 12A of the Local Govt Act 1972). Any breach of confidentiality could prejudice the Council/person/body concerned & might amount to a breach of the councillors /employees codes of conduct.



The following relates to exempt or confidential matters (Para(s) 3 of Part 1, Schedule 12A of the Local Govt Act 1972). Any breach of confidentiality could prejudice the Council/person/body concerned & might amount to a breach of the councillors /employees codes of conduct.



The following relates to exempt or confidential matters (Para(s) 3 of Part 1, Schedule 12A of the Local Govt Act 1972). Any breach of confidentiality could prejudice the Council/person/body concerned & might amount to a breach of the councillors /employees codes of conduct.



The following relates to exempt or confidential matters (Para(s) 3 of Part 1, Schedule 12A of the Local Govt Act 1972). Any breach of confidentiality could prejudice the Council/person/body concerned & might amount to a breach of the councillors /employees codes of conduct.



The following relates to exempt or confidential matters (Para(s) 3 of Part 1, Schedule 12A of the Local Govt Act 1972). Any breach of confidentiality could prejudice the Council/person/body concerned & might amount to a breach of the councillors /employees codes of conduct.



EXECUTIVE DECISION

made by a Cabinet Member



REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN UNDER DELEGATED AUTHORITY BY AN INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER

Executive Decision Reference Number - L17 21/22

Dec	cision									
ı	Title of decision: New Data Centre Migration Costs									
2	Decision maker (Cabinet member name and portfolio title): Councillor Nick Kelly, Leader of the Council									
3	Report author and contact details: Peter Honeywell, Transformation Architecture Manager – Email peter.honeywell@plymouth.gov.uk									
4	Decision to be taken: It is recommended that the Leader of the Council: • Approves the Business Case • Allocates £221k for the project into the Capital Programme funded by service area repayment									
5	Reasons for decision: DELT have considered options for the replacement of the data centre and concluded that the most cost effective solution is to move to a single new highly resilient data centre. The new capability will offer resilience comparable to that provided by our current setup. However the use of a single vendor operating at scale provides savings to DELT which will be passed through to Plymouth City Council.									
6	Alternative options considered and re Leaving the data centre within Windsor sitting tenant			tempting to dispose of the building with a						
7	Financial implications and risks: The a over 10 years from the operational saving	•		t for this migration is £221k to be repaid om the new solution.						
8	Is the decision a Key Decision? (please contact Democratic Support	Yes	No	Per the Constitution, a key decision is one which:						
	for further advice)		x	in the case of capital projects and contract awards, results in a new commitment to spend and/or save in excess of £3million in total						
			x	in the case of revenue projects when the decision involves entering into new commitments and/or making new savings in excess of £1 million						

				x	is significant in terms of its effect on communities living or working in an area			
					comprising two or more wards in the area of the local authority.			
	_	publication of the forward Plan of Key						
9				This is consistent with the Accommodation Framework and ICT Strategy for the Council				
10	environmental implications of the decision (carbon impact)			s funding v ing fewer	o quantify, the data centre solution enabled will reduce electricity consumption through servers in fewer locations. The new data ed by renewably generated electricity.			
Urge	nt decisions							
11	implemented immediately in the interests of the Council or the		Yes		(If yes, please contact Democratic Support (democraticsupport@plymouth.gov.uk) for advice)			
	public?			x	(If no, go to section 13a)			
I2a	Reason for ur	gency:						
I2b	Scrutiny Chair Signature:			Date				
	Scrutiny Committee name:							
	Print Name:							
Cons	ultation							
13a	-	Cabinet members' cted by the decision?	Yes	x				
	portionos ane	cted by the decision:	No		(If no go to section 14)			
I3b		Cabinet member's ected by the decision?		Councillor John Riley, Cabinet Member for Governance, HR, IT and Community Safety				
I3c	Date Cabinet	member consulted	21/09/	21/09/21				

14	Has any Cabinet member declared a conflict of interest in relation to the		Yes		If yes, pl Officer	ease discı	uss with	the Moni	toring
	decis	sion?	No	x					
15		Which Corporate Management Team member has been consulted?			Andy Ra	lphs			
	I ean			:le	_	ic Directate Serv		Custome	er and
			Date consu	lted	01/09/2	I			
Sign	-off								
16		off codes from the relevant rtments consulted:		cratic datory)	Support		DS	52 21/2:	2
			Financ	ce (ma	ndatory)		ba.2	21.22.1	21
			Legal	(mand	atory)		LS/3	37353/ <i>/</i> 1	AC/7/1
			Huma	ın Resc	ources (if a	pplicabl	e) N/A		
			Corpo applic		roperty (i	f	N/A	N/A	
			Procu	remen	t (if applic	able)	N/A		
Арр	endic	es							
17	Ref.	Title of appendix							
	Α	Briefing report for publication							
	В	Business Case							
Conf	fidenti	ial/exempt information							
18a		ou need to include any dential/exempt information?	Yes		If yes, prepa briefing rep publication	ort and ir by virtue	ndicate wo	vhy it is n I of Sched	ot for (lule 12A
						of the Local Government Act 1972 by tickin the relevant box in 18b below.			
					(Keep as m briefing rep domain)			•	
				E	xemption	Paragra	ph Nun	nber	
			ı	2	3	4	5	6	7
18b	Conf	idential/exempt briefing report							

Print Name

			i ago	<u></u>							
Bacl	kground Pap	pers									
19	Please list a	l unpublished, background pape	ers relevar	t to the	decision	in the tal	ole below	′ .			
	Background papers are <u>unpublished</u> works, relied on to a material extent in preparing the report, which disclose facts or matters on which the report or an important part of the work is based. If some/all of the information is confidential, you must indicate why it is not for publication by virtue of Part I of Schedule I2A of the Local Government Act 1972 by ticking the relevant box.										
Title of background paper(s)				Exemption Paragraph Number							
			ı	2	3	4	5	6	7		
Cab	inet Membe	r Signature									
20											
Signature N. Kelly		Date of	Date of decision			20 October 2021					

Councillor Nick Kelly, Leader of Plymouth City Council

BRIEFING REPORT New Data Centre Migration Costs



SUMMARY

This investment proposal covers the one off costs of migration between the Delt operated existing data centre in Windsor House and the new data centre. The move has been necessitated by the decision to decant services from Windsor House in preparation for disposal. The new data centre will operate at lower costs than those we are currently able to reach with our current configuration, the savings in operating cost will be used to pay back the cost of migration.

PROJECT DETAIL					
Project Value (indicate capital or revenue)	£0.221m capital	Contingency (show as £ and % of project value)	£44k – 25%		
Programme	Transforming Council Services	Directorate	Transformation & Change		
Portfolio Holder	Cllr Kelly	Service Director	Andy Ralphs		
Senior Responsible Officer (client)	Peter Honeywell	Project Manager	John Finch		
Address and Post Code	Ballard House PLI 2AA	Ward	Citywide		

Current Situation:

Delt support PCCs requirement for resilient data centre services through operating across 2 sites in the city. One of these sites is in the basement at Windsor House and the other is in the Plymouth Science Park. The sites are linked by networks and managed for resilience such that if one site suffers a failure the other can pick up the work load.

The commitment to move services from Windsor House in order to allow the building to be commercially disposed of requires that the data centre operating from this site is moved.

Proposal:

Delt have considered options for the replacement of the data centre and concluded that the most cost effective solution is to move to a single new highly resilient data centre. The new capability will offer resilience comparable to that provided by our current set up. However the use a single vendor operating at scale provides savings to Delt which will be passed through to PCC.

The work to procure the new service and manage the transition will be the responsibility of Delt to complete. PCC are being asked to confirm the capital contribution required to deliver the savings.

The ongoing costs for the provision of the data centre are covered in existing budgets and are therefore excluded from this case.

SECTION	SECTION 2: PROJECT RISK, OUTCOMES AND BENEFITS						
Risk Regis	ster:						
Potential	Risks Identified	1		Likelihood	Impact		
						Rating	
Risk	The costs estima		· •	Medium	Low	Low	
	progresses from						
			for costs to increase	Low	Low	Low	
Calculated	risk value in £	C£100k					
(Extent of	financial risk)						

Outcomes and Benefits List the outcomes and benefits expected from this project.					
Financial outcomes and benefits:	Non-financial outcomes and benefits:				
By comparison to continuing to operate 2 sites within Plymouth this proposal will save £137k per year.	The benefit of not having to facilities manage a data centre contained within a regular office building will reduce the risk of power and temperature management creating a failure in the data centre.				

Low Carbon	
What is the anticipated impact of the proposal on carbon emissions	The project lacks the technical expertise to calculate the carbon emission impact but this solution will operate few racks of servers in a more efficient environment which should reduce the carbon consumption compared to our current operations.
How does it contribute to the Council becoming Carbon neutral by 2030	The migration from Windsor House will reduce the Councils carbon footprint as this building is energy inefficient. Whilst the new data centre operation is not carbon neutral it will help reduce the overall level of emissions by 2022
Which Members have you engaged with and how have they been consulted (including the Leader, Portfolio Holders and Ward Members)	Portfolio Holder (Cllr Kelly)

SECTION 4: FINANCIAL ASSESSMENT

FINANCIAL ASSESSMENT.

CAPITAL COSTS AND FINANCING								
Breakdown of project costs including fees	Prev. Yr.	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Future Yrs.	Total
surveys and contingency	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m

One off migration costs to set up new data centre	0.221			0.221
Total capital spend	0.221			0.221

Provide details of proposed funding: Funding to match with Project Value								
Breakdown of proposed funding	Prev. Yr. £m	21/22 £m	22/23 £m	23/24 £m	24/25 £m	25/26 £m	Future Yrs. £m	Total £m
ICT Revenue budget (code 4050)			0.024	0.024	0.024	0.024	0.144	0.240
Total funding			0.024	0.024	0.024	0.024	0.144	0.240



CAPITAL INVESTMENT BUSINESS CASE New Data Centre Migration Costs



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Executive Summary is a short summary of the Business Case and should be the last section you complete, this will enable you to extract or only the key facts from relevant sections i.e. 'project on a page'. The summary is a 'snapshot' of the business case which will need to tell the story and sell the proposal. key notes

This investment proposal covers the one off costs of migration between the Delt operated existing data centre in Windsor House and the new data centre to replace this. The move has been necessitated by the decision to decant services from Windsor House in preparation for disposal. The new data centre will operate at lower costs than those we are currently able to reach with our current configuration, the savings in operating cost will be used to pay back the cost of migration.

key risks

The most significant risk to this proposal is the prospect of the cost to migrate increasing.

SECTION I: PROJECT DETAIL						
Project Value (indicate capital or revenue)	£0.221m capital	Contingency (show as £ and % of project value)	£44k – 25%			
Programme	Transforming Council Services	Directorate	Transformation & Change			
Portfolio Holder	Cllr Kelly	Service Director	Andy Ralphs			
Senior Responsible Officer (client)	Peter Honeywell	Project Manager	John Finch			
Address and Post Code	Ballard House PLI 2AA	Ward	Citywide			

Current Situation: (Provide a brief, concise paragraph outlining the current situation and explain the current business need, problem, opportunity or change of circumstances that needs to be resolved)

Delt support PCCs requirement for resilient data centre services through operating across 2 sites in the city. One of these sites is in the basement at Windsor House and the other is in the Plymouth Science Park. The sites are linked by networks and managed for resilience such that if one site suffers a failure the other can pick up the work load.

The commitment to move services from Windsor House in order to allow the building to be commercially disposed of requires that the data centre operating from this site is moved.

Proposal: (Provide a brief, concise paragraph outlining your scheme and explain how the business proposal will address the current situation above or take advantage of the business opportunity) **and** (What would happen if we didn't proceed with this scheme?)

Delt have considered options for the replacement of the data centre and concluded that the most cost effective solution is to move to a single new highly resilient data centre. The new capability will offer resilience comparable to that provided by our current set up. However the use a single vendor operating at scale provides savings to Delt which will be passed through to PCC.

The work to procure the new service and manage the transition will be the responsibility of Delt to complete. PCC are being asked to confirm the capital contribution required to deliver the savings.

The ongoing costs for the provision of the data centre are covered in existing budgets and are therefore excluded from this case.

Milestones and Date:						
Contract Award Date	Start On Site Date	Completion Date				
15 October 2021 Dates to be managed by Delt	29 October 2021	25 March 2022				

SECTION 2: PROJECT RISK, OUTCOMES AND BENEFITS

Risk Register: The Risk Register/Risk Log is a master document created during the early stages of a project. It includes information about each identified risk, level of risk, who owns it and what measures are in place to mitigate the risks (cut and paste more boxes if required).

Potential	Risks Identified	1		Likelihood	Impact	Overall Rating
Risk	The costs estimate progresses from		Medium	Low	Low	
Mitigation	Maintain a conti	for costs to increase	Low	Low	Low	
	risk value in £ financial risk)	C£100k				

Outcomes and Benefits

List the outcomes and benefits expected from this project.

(An **outcome** is the result of the change derived from using the project's deliverables. This section should describe the anticipated outcome)

(A **benefit** is the measurable improvement resulting from an outcome that is perceived as an advantage. Benefits are the expected value to be delivered by the project, measurable whenever possible)

Financial outcomes and benefits:	Non-financial outcomes and benefits:
By comparison to continuing to operate 2 sites within Plymouth this proposal will save £137k per year.	The benefit of not having to facilities manage a data centre contained within a regular office building will reduce the risk of power and temperature management creating a failure in the data centre.

Low Carbon	
What is the anticipated	
impact of the proposal on	The project lacks the technical expertise to calculate the carbon
carbon emissions	emission impact but this solution will operate few racks of
	servers in a more efficient environment which should reduce the
	carbon consumption compared to our current operations.

How does it contribute to the Council becoming Carbon neutral by 2030	The migration from Windsor House will reduce the Councils carbon footprint as this building is energy inefficient. Whilst the new data centre operation is not carbon neutral it will help reduce the overall level of emissions by 2022					
Have you engaged with Pro Procurement route options considered for goods, services or works	This is a procurement responsibility undertaken by Delt					
Procurements Recommended route. Who is your Procurement						
-	ase of a commercial property	No				
If yes then provide evidence that it is not 'primarily for y						
Which Members have you engaged with and how have they been consulted (including the Leader, Portfolio Holders and Ward Members)	Portfolio Holder (Cllr Kelly)					

SECTION 4: FINANCIAL ASSESSMENT

FINANCIAL ASSESSMENT: In this section the robustness of the proposals should be set out in financial terms. The Project Manager will need to work closely with the capital and revenue finance teams to ensure that these sections demonstrate the affordability of the proposals to the Council as a whole.

CAPITAL COSTS AND FINANCING								
Breakdown of project costs including fees	Prev. Yr.	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Future Yrs.	Total
surveys and contingency	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
One off migration costs to set up new data centre		0.221						0.221
Total capital spend		0.221						0.221

Provide details of proposed funding: Funding to match with Project Value								
Breakdown of proposed funding	Prev. Yr. £m	21/22 £m	22/23 £m	23/24 £m	24/25 £m	25/26 £m	Future Yrs. £m	Total £m
Budget code 4050			0.024	0.024	0.024	0.024	0.144	0.240
Total funding			0.024	0.024	0.024	0.024	0.144	0.240

Which external	N/A
funding sources	
been explored	

Are there any bidding constraints and/or any restrictions or conditions attached to your funding	N/A
Tax and VAT implications	The Council has opted to tax Windsor House and as this cost would be as a result of making Windsor House ready to sell, any VAT incurred is recoverable.
Tax and VAT reviewed by	Julliet Russell

REVENUE COSTS AND IMPLICATIONS						
Cost of Developing the Capital Project (To be incurred at risk to Service area)						
Total Cost of developing the project						
Revenue cost code for the development costs	N/A					
Revenue costs incurred for developing the project are to be included in the capital total, some of the expenditure could be capitalised if it meets the criteria	N					
Budget Managers Name	N/A					

Ongoing Revenue Implications for S	Service Area						
	Prev. Yr.	21/22 £	22/23 £	23/24 £	23/24 £	25/25 £m	Future Yrs.
Service area revenue cost							
Other (eg: maintenance, utilities, etc)							
Loan repayment (terms agreed with Treasury Management)			0.024	0.024	0.024	0.024	0.144
Total Revenue Cost (A)							
Service area revenue benefits/savings							
Annual revenue income (eg: rents, etc)							
Total Revenue Income (B)							
Service area net (benefit) cost (B-A)							
Has the revenue cost been budgeted for or would this make a revenue pressure		,					
Which cost centre would the revenue pressure be shown	revi		reviewe	Has this been reviewed by the budget manager		Y	
Name of budget manager	Peter H	oneywel	I				

Loan value	£221k	Interest Rate	1.5%	Term Years	10	Annual Repayment	£23,964k		
Revenue c	ode for ann	ual	4050						
repaymen	repayments								
Service area or corporate borrowing		Service ar	ea						
	mplications	reviewed							

Version Control: (The version control table must be updated and signed off each time a change is made to the document to provide an audit trail for the revision and update of draft and final versions)

Author of Business Case	Date	Document Version	Reviewed By	Date
Peter Honeywell	08/09/21	v 1.0		00/00/2021
	00/00/2021	v 2.0		00/00/2021

SECTION 6: RECOMMENDATION AND ENDORSEMENT

Recommended Decision

It is recommended that the Leader of the Council:

- Approves the Business Case
- Allocates £221k for the project into the Capital Programme funded by service area repayment

Councillor Nick Kelly, Lo	eader of the Council	Service Director				
Either email dated:	Date: 20 October 2021	Either email dated:	date			
Or signed:		Signed:				
Date:		Date:				
		Service Director				
		Andy Ralphs, Service Director of Customer and Corporate Services				
		Either email dated:	Date:01/09/2021			
		Signed:				
		Date:				

